IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 1055 OF 2006

Gopinath Kalya Shelke & ors .... Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra & ors ... Respondents.

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 1074 OF 2006

Ramchandra Ambo Thakur & ors ... Petitioners
versus

The State of Maharashtra & ors. .. Respondents.

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.1075 OF 2006

Gosavi Mahadu Patil ... Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra & ors ... Respondent.

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 1082 OF 2006

Vasant Mahadu Patil .... Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra & ors ... Respondents.

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 1083 OF 2006

Shantaram Hari Bhoir & ors ... Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra & ors .. Respondents

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 1084 OF 1006

Hanumant Ramchandra Padwal & ors ... Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra & ors. ... Respondents.

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 1085 OF 2006

Suresh Dattatray Dhore .... Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra & ors. .. Respondent.

Shri S.A. Rajeshirke for the petitioner.
Shri P.I.Khemani AGP for Respondents.

CORAM; V. G. PALSHIKAR &
V. R. KINGAONKAR, JJ.

DATED; MARCH 2, 2006.

P.C:

1. All these petitions are covered by our order dated 7-2-2006. They raised identical question regarding the pendency of the applications under section 28A of the Land Acquisition Act. For reasons mentioned in that order, these petitions are allowed. The respondent Land Acquisition Officer before whom the applications are pending is directed to decide the application under section 28A of the Act as early as possible preferably within three months.

...